The Art & Science of Negotiations for the Project Manager Supplemental Material

Before You Begin - Golden Rules for Project Managers

\square Negotiation is an art and the art is in the deal

- ✓ In business, you don't get what you deserve, you get what you negotiate.
- ✓ If you fail to plan, then you plan to fail.
- ✓ The greatest failure in negotiation is failing to negotiate.
- ✓ Success in negotiation is directly related to the amount and kind of preparation preceding the negotiation.
- ✓ Meaningful negotiation involves conflicts. The person who has a strong need to be liked, or who tends to avoid conflict, is likely to be at a disadvantage.
- ✓ Everyone has power in a negotiation.
- ✓ The most important person to know in a negotiation is yourself.

\square There is always room for negotiation.

- ✓ In practice, there is no optimal solution. The best solution must be customizing according to the working conditions.
- ✓ The ability to walk away or select another alternative to a negotiated agreement puts a negotiator in a very strong position.
- ✓ Even when two sides are far apart on major issues, there are always things they can agree upon.

\square Agreement is the end; trading off is the means.

- ✓ Hope for the best and plan for the worst.
- ✓ Single-issue bargaining leaves both parties unsatisfied.
- ✓ Negotiate to a complete contract, taking into consideration potential changes to your organization and to the vendor's.
- ✓ Determine your "drop dead" conditions and fallback positions before beginning the negotiation.
- ✓ Ensure that the negotiation team members have a consistent message, roles, and approach for the negotiation.
- ✓ The value of something is always in the eye of the beholder.
- ✓ Urgency drives decisions.
- ✓ Even in a collaborative environment, best results are obtained by keeping the other party on a "need to know" basis.

Key Lessons Learned

	Get past needing to compete: Competition can destroy long-term relationships, which in	
	turn can destroy your bottom line	
	Get down to the real issue: Make sure you attack the problem, not each other	
	Know and use your leverage: It is surprising how little people really know about their	
	sources of leverage	
	Don't assume that people will act in rational ways: We've all dealt with people who are	
	emotional and irrational	
_		
	Things are not black and white: Life is lived in shades of gray	
	Don't let bad things linger: Problems just get worse the longer they linger; they don't go	
	away	
	Let bygones be bygones: Let go of hard feelings once someone does something to correct a	
	wrong. People make mistakes	
	Communication style: No mixed messages, ask for feedback to confirm understanding	
	Communication style. No mixed messages, ask for recuback to commin understanding	
	Negotiation Processes	
Dro-no	egotiation Stage	
	Conduct fact-finding	
	Identify their interests (walk in their shoes)	
	Establish your goals-what is negotiable and what is not negotiable	
	Identify acceptable fallback positions	
	Assess the goals of the other parties	
	Assess the implications of significant power positions and perspectives	
	Develop strategies and tactics	
	Test (as much as possible) alternative demands and proposals	
	Prepare or influence the structure and context of the upcoming talks	
	Initiate confidence building measures with the other side	
		
	Build coalitions	
_	iation itself	
	Present positions and interests to the other side	
	Employ and modify strategies and tactics to encourage the other parties to see benefits in the	
	proposals (this is not necessarily compromise)	
	Defend and promote your interests (passion, personal)	
	Find general principles of honesty and fairness upon which all parties can agree (these are	
	PM ethics)	
	Search for acceptable provisions that add detail to the agreed principles	
	Overcome objections and impasses	
_	•	
Ц	Conduct problem solving and finding creative approaches to find mutually acceptable	
	solutions	
	Work within coalitions to further your interests	
Post-agreement Negotiation		
	Establish and participate in an ongoing forum in which the parties of an agreement and can	
	continue to dialogue about details, adjustments and extensions	
	Monitor and evaluate compliance with negotiated provisions	
	Find ways to improve and strengthen existing agreements	
	Put closure on the negotiated agreement when complete	

Negotiating Win/Win Deals

©Peter Barron Stark & Associates, 2000

Negotiating Desirable Outcomes

You may not realize it, but you are involved in negotiation a good part of every day. Any negotiation--whether it involves settling on the price of a product or service, agreeing to the terms of a job offer, or simply deciding on a bedtime for your children--ends in one of five possible outcomes: (1) lose/lose, in which neither party achieves his goals; (2) lose/win or (3) win/lose, in which one party achieves her goals and the other does not; (4) no outcome, in which neither party wins or loses; and (5) win/win, in which the goals of both parties are met. It's easy to see that numbers 1 and 4 are less than ideal, as is number 2 if you are the one who loses! But what about the other two outcomes? Isn't win/lose just as desirable as win/win, as long as you are the winner?

The Win/Lose Outcome

In some negotiations, you will be the winner and the other party will be the loser. At first, it may seem that this is the ideal situation for you. But think about it. If you have ever lost a negotiation, you know that the feeling is not pleasant. A significant problem with a win/lose outcome is that one person walks away with unmet needs--and this person is unlikely to be willing to engage in future negotiations with the other party. Ultimately, this sets up the potential for a lose/lose outcome.

A good example of this situation occurred when I was a salesman in the printing business. I had a client who purchased printing on a regular basis. This person had a reputation for being both a shark and a jerk. Not only would he beat down my price, but he would also be rude and verbally abusive through every step of the job. For him, this may have seemed like a win/lose situation, with me as the loser. After several jobs, however, it became apparent to me that the stress of working with this individual was costing me more time, energy and grief than the jobs were worth. Eventually, I began quoting his jobs at twice the normal markup and refusing to budge on the price, changing the balance in this lopsided relationship. Of course, after a while, the client refused to deal with me, creating a lose/lose outcome.

Achieving a Win/Win Outcome

The best outcome for almost all negotiations is win/win, when both parties walk away with a positive feeling about achieving their goals. But how do you accomplish this ideal situation? There are four keys:

Avoid narrowing your negotiation down to one issue. When you focus on just one issue, there
can be only one winner. A common example is arguing over the price of something. To avoid
creating a win/lose outcome, you can bring other factors into the negotiation, such as delivery
fees, timing, quality, supplemental goods and services, and so on.
Realize that the other party does not have the same needs and wants you do. If you think the
other person's goals are exactly the same as yours (for instance, a "good" price, which may mean
different things for the two of you), you will have the attitude that the other party's gain is your
loss. With that attitude, it is virtually impossible to create a win/win outcome.
Don't assume you know the other party's needs. Negotiators often think they know what the
other party wants. Salespeople may assume that buyers want to pay the lowest possible price for

a product. But many buyers have other needs that may influence their decision to buy. By asking questions, a skilled salesperson may find, for example, that a buyer's biggest concern is not that she pays the lowest price, but that her boss perceives the purchase decision as a good one. This knowledge allows the salesperson more negotiating room.

□ **Believe point number two in your heart**. Most novice negotiators acknowledge that the other party probably does not have the same goals they do, but once the actual negotiation commences, this acknowledgement vanishes from their mind.

The importance of understanding that a counterpart's goals may be different from yours was illustrated in a historic negotiation that took place in the late 1970s. At the time, the Chrysler Corporation was fighting for its financial survival, and its only hope was to obtain a guaranteed, subsidized loan from the U.S. government. Unfortunately for Chrysler, it seemed the majority of Americans were dead set against the government bailing the company out. Chrysler's chairman, Lee Iacocca, realized that the needs and goals of the members of Congress were not the same as his. They needed to keep their constituents happy, not save the Chrysler Corporation. With this in mind, he went before Congress and explained to the politicians that he represented, not only himself and Chrysler's 147,000 employees, but also Chrysler's 4,700 dealers and their 150,000 employees, plus Chrysler's 19,000 suppliers and their 250,000 employees. Then Iacocca explained exactly how many people would be adversely affected in each Congress member's district if the loan was not granted. With superior planning and research, Iacocca was able to transform his goals into the politicians' goals. Not surprisingly, when the vote was taken, the loan passed by a margin of 2 to 1 in the House and 53 to 44 in the Senate. Since Chrysler paid back the loan in full three years later, this negotiation left everyone winners.

Setting Up for Success

Since few negotiations are one-time affairs, it is usually in your best interest to work toward a win/win outcome. When both parties walk away from a negotiation with a good feeling, they will probably be willing to negotiate with each other again. Using all your skills to ensure that you *and* the other party feel like winners is simply good business!

Resources

- Framework for Negotiations Activities, Bertram I. Spector.
- Quantify Negotiations, Harvard Business Journal.
- Businessdictionary.com
- Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia.
- Jeanette Nyden, author of Negotiation Rules! A Practical Approach to Big Deal Negotiations, is the president of J. Nyden & Co. Inc., negotiation skills training company.
- Dr. Attia Hussien Gomaa Industrial Engineering Consultant Engineering Service American University In Cairo
- http://www.negotiatingguide.com/negotiation/negotiatingwinwinarticle.htm. Peter Barron Stark is president of Peter Barron Stark Associates. He travels internationally training procurement specialists, sales professionals and other leaders in the art of negotiation. www.negotiatingguide.com
- ICN (International Computer Negotiations) www.dobetterdeals.com